

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 22, 2001

LB 389

how the commission handles it after the passage of this bill as opposed to before except that there were some federal provisions that they were not in compliance with. And so this allows them to update those rules and regs and so this material is being stricken for that reason.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So instead of having statutes outlining what needs to be done, we'll have rules and regulations?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And we're doing that...I mean I assume we could put into statute whatever the federal requirements were. Is that accurate?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, we could. Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And why are we choosing to do it by rule and regulation?

SENATOR BROMM: Counsel is clarifying that for me, Senator Beutler. And by reference in the amendment, we are placing...we are referencing the federal rules and regulations that are being...that are different than what our statutes are so that we'll be in...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...compliance and be consistent with the FCC. And so that's...in 86-1908, which is on page 6, if the telecommunications company violates the slamming provisions, the commission shall order the company to take corrective action as necessary and consistent with rules and regulations adopted and promulgated by the commission and the Federal Communications Commission. So we are piggybacking onto the Federal Communications Commission rules as well as our own rules that have been promulgated by the Public Service Commission. The commission felt having this old language in statute, though, created some conflicts and inconsistencies that could make it more difficult for them to clearly enforce...